1	BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973) United States Attorney	
3	BARBARA J. VALLIERE (DCBN 439353) Chief, Criminal Division	
4 5 6	KAREN KREUZKAMP (CABN 246151) Assistant United States Attorney 450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055 San Francisco, California 94102-3495 Telephone: (415) 436-7014	
7	FAX: (415) 436-7234 Karen.Kreuzkamp@usdoj.gov	
8	Attorneys for United States of America	
	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
12 13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	NO. CR- 16-00251-WHA
14	Plaintiff,	10. CR- 10-00231-WHA
15	v.	
16	LAMAR JOHNSON,	STIPULATION TO EXCLUDE TIME AND [PROPOSED] ORDER
17	Defendant.	
18		
19	STIPULATION	
20	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the parties to this action, as stated on the record	
21	at the status conference on January 17, 2017, that the time between January 17, 2017 and January 31,	
22	2017 be excluded under the Speedy Trial Act pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(1)(H), (h)(7)(A), and	
23	(h)(7)(B). Excluding time until January 31, 2017 will allow for continuity of defense counsel. <i>See</i> 18	
24	U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(H)(iv).	
25	//	
26	//	
27	//	
28	//	
	ORDER EXLUDING TIME Case No. CR 16-00251-WHA	

Case 3:16-cr-00251-WHA Document 52 Filed 01/18/17 Page 2 of 2

IT IS SO STIPULATED. 1 DATED: January 18, 2017 BRIAN J. STRETCH 2 **United States Attorney** 3 4 KAREN KREUZKAMP 5 Assistant United States Attorney 6 DATED: January 18, 2017 7 8 **GALIA AMRAM** 9 Assistant Federal Public Defender Counsel for Defendant LAMAR JOHNSON 10 11 12 13 [PROPOSED] ORDER 14 As explained on the record during the January 17, 2017 status conference, the Court finds that 15 the exclusion of the period from January 17, 2017 to January 31, 2017 from the time limits applicable under 18 U.S.C. § 3161, is warranted; that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the 16 17 interests of the public and the defendant in the prompt disposition of this criminal case; and that the 18 failure to grant the requested exclusion of time would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of 19 counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. 20 See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). 21 IT IS SO ORDERED. 22 23 DATED: January 18, 2017. 24 United States Senior District Judge 25 26 27

ORDER EXLUDING TIME Case No. CR 16-00251-WHA

28